**Scrutiny Recommendation Tracker 2014-15**

**All recommendations**

|  |
| --- |
| **Community Engagement Policy Statement - Scrutiny Committee 23 June** |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Outcome / progress** | **Considered by / when** | **Lead Member & Officer**  | **Implemented Y/N** |
| 1. To provide a clear statement in the principles on the ambition for engagement focusing on depth as well as breadth.
 | Y | Merged with recommendation 3. | CEB / 3 July  | Cllr Price; Sadie Paige | N/A |
| 1. To provide information on the engagement ambitions set for all consultations during the last year, what was achieved and how this fits with the principles set within the Policy Statement.
 | Y | To provide this information for all consultations would be a huge piece of work so a sample will be used instead, together with a forward-looking approach. Expected at 10 November Scrutiny Committee meeting. | CEB / 3 July  | Cllr Price; Sadie Paige | N |
| 1. To suggest to the Scrutiny Committee an up and coming engagement/empowerment exercise that can act as a pilot study to demonstrate the effectiveness of the principles within this report.
 | Y | Two consultations identified as candidates for the pilot as per CEB suggestion. Project brief created for the pilot, which includes the objectives, and a reporting template. Interim update expected on 10 November. | CEB / 3 July  | Cllr Price; Sadie Paige | N |
| 1. To provide a table that shows how all comments received during the consultation on this Policy Statement have been handled.
 | Y | Expected at 10 November Scrutiny Committee meeting. | CEB / 3 July  | Cllr Price; Sadie Paige | N |
| **End of Year Integrated Report – 2013-2014 - Scrutiny Committee 23 June** |
| **Recommendation** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Outcome / progress** | **Considered by / when** | **Lead Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N** |
| 1. The Committee supports the purchase of the Iffley Road building as an asset of value to the community and recognises that negotiations are on-going. There is a gap between the asking price and the money available and the City Executive Board is asked to do what it can within reasonable value for money criteria to secure the purchase of this property.
 | Y | Noted (£250k has been earmarked for acquisition of property). | CEB / 3 July  | Cllr Turner; Nigel Kennedy; Jane Lubbock | N |
| 1. To consider the contingency available to support homelessness in light of county proposals for implementing cuts in the Supporting People and if underspends from 13/14 should be maintained within this budget.
 | N | Current level of contingency considered to be sufficient. | CEB / 3 July | Cllr Turner; Nigel Kennedy; Jane Lubbock | N/A |
| **Fusion Lifestyle Performance 2013-2014 - Scrutiny Committee 23 June** |
| **Additional information requested** | **Agreed Y/N** | **Outcome** | **Considered by / when** | **Member & Officer** | **Implemented Y/N** |
| **Facility running costs** It was agreed at the June meeting in 2013 that the running costs of the facilities would be shown including all capital investment and loan cost in the next report. This hadn’t been done. **Performance outside of expectations** Members asked how poor performance was addressed and asked to see the issues raised and the actions/penalties taken over the last year. **Publicity Campaign**An issue was raised concerning literature used to highlight the Active Women Campaign. The images used were considered to be too stereotypical and gendered. The Committee asked that this issue be taken up with Sports England who run this national campaign. **Views of non-card users at facilities**The Committee asked to see any information on the views and experiences of non-card users.**Falling attendance amongst young people** The Committee were concerned to see this and wanted some more detailed data and information to understand more fully the reasons behind it and whether it was a particular set of circumstances or a trend. **Information excluded from the public**The Committee heard a complaint from a member of the public that the information provided outlining the running costs to the Council of each Leisure Facility should be made public because if the Council was still running these centres then the information would be available publically. The Committee heard that this was commercial information but asked that this exclusion is reconsidered by Fusion. **Investment financing**Members were interested in why the City Council financed investment spending that Fusion Lifestyle was originally required to finance, and in how much this saved the partnership. | N/A | Information paper to Scrutiny Committee meeting on 2 September. Update to follow separately – this was a follow-up request that was made after the meeting. | Board Member / 26June | Cllr Rowley;Lucy Cherry | N |